

# Low response rates?

## The real reasons behind employee survey fatigue

**The response rates for employee surveys, but also their use itself, have been declining in many companies for years. On the other hand, we read every day how important it is to listen more closely to employees in the face of topical changes in the world of work. So what is going wrong here?**

Much is written about the causes and reasons for the high survey fatigue. Particularly striking are the many academic discussions about the correct formulation of questions or the best grading of evaluation scales. Others demand that employees should be surveyed even more frequently. That is, weekly or monthly pulse resp. microsurveys instead of once a year.

But the latter in particular is the proverbial shot in the foot. Does anyone seriously believe that repeating something "unpopular" even more often will make it more popular?

### Employees do not want constantly to evaluate something

If you talk to employees, you will hear the following statements in particular about employee surveys.

No, they don't want to have to constantly evaluate their mood, satisfaction, or commitment. Nor do they want to have to constantly evaluate the working atmosphere or their experience.

Especially not if, for example,

- poor ratings in satisfaction and commitment are blamed on themselves;
- they are taken to task by their superiors for bad evaluations;
- they are punished for bad evaluations as troublemakers (e.g. in terms of wages, bonuses or promotion);
- they have to solve the causes resp. problems for bad ratings themselves;
- they have to solve problems that are not their problems at all;



- their evaluations only serve reporting purposes without really changing anything in the organization;
- their real problems and challenges do not play any role at all in the surveys.
- the protection of their anonymity remains guaranteed under all conditions;
- the feedback is concrete and meaningful and not a general mood query resp. evaluation;
- you can give simple and direct feedback without spending hours pondering the right wording or the right value on a scale.

All of these and other corporate behaviors have contributed significantly in recent decades to the fact that the fundamentally good idea behind the employee survey has suffered more and more.

### Employees want to give feedback

In addition, there are social developments that have changed the younger generation's attitude to feedback in particular.

Young employees want to give feedback. However, this is subject to the preconditions that

- they themselves can determine when and for what they give feedback;
- they can give feedback at any time on anything that is relevant to them in their everyday lives, at their workplace and in their job;

At the same time, most employees are well aware that their feedback initially reflects their very personal opinion resp. perception. They therefore do not expect immediate action.

Only where individual opinions resp. perceptions accumulate in the organization is timely and targeted action expected.


Companies that want to make organization-related employee feedback attractive and sustainable again should therefore choose an approach that can actually meet these expectations of the younger generation.

Author: Martin Mechlinski / SMART FED

# Publications

<https://smart-fed.com/en/publications/>


## Corporate Culture



## Dimensions Of Appreciation



## Employee Efficiency & Effectiveness



## Employee Surveys & Feedback

Forms of employee surveys and employee feedback in companies.

Mood barometer	Pulse surveys	Microsurveys	Employee surveys	Smart feedback
<b>Address:</b> organization <b>Form:</b> online <b>Structure:</b> linear <b>Interval:</b> daily <b>Anonymity:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Individuality:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Goal:</b> Measurement of the general mood in the organization.	<b>Address:</b> organization <b>Form:</b> online <b>Structure:</b> linear <b>Interval:</b> weekly to monthly <b>Anonymity:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Individuality:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Goal:</b> Measurement of the general working climate on the basis of estimated characteristics.	<b>Address:</b> organization <b>Form:</b> online <b>Structure:</b> linear <b>Interval:</b> weekly to semi-annually <b>Anonymity:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Individuality:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Goal:</b> Identification of the company measurement (EQ).	<b>Address:</b> organization <b>Form:</b> paper/online <b>Structure:</b> linear <b>Interval:</b> annual to perennial <b>Anonymity:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Individuality:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Goal:</b> Measurement of employee satisfaction or employees.	<b>Address:</b> organization <b>Form:</b> text <b>Structure:</b> free structure (free content) <b>Interval:</b> t → at any time <b>Anonymity:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Individuality:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Goal:</b> Identification of potentials for increasing employee motivation and commitment as well as increasing efficiency, effectiveness and performance of the organization.
<b>Address:</b> organization <b>Form:</b> personal <b>Structure:</b> linear <b>Interval:</b> annual <b>Anonymity:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Individuality:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Goal:</b> Bilateral feedback between supervisor and employee.	<b>Address:</b> organization <b>Form:</b> online <b>Structure:</b> linear <b>Interval:</b> annual <b>Anonymity:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Individuality:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Goal:</b> Assessment of the behavior and competencies of individuals.	<b>Address:</b> organization <b>Form:</b> online <b>Structure:</b> open <b>Interval:</b> t → at any time <b>Anonymity:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Individuality:</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <b>Goal:</b> Direct, personal feedback to individuals within the organization.		

Legend:

- Free content (unrestricted)
- Protection of the anonymity of people giving feedback
- Possible for employees themselves to give feedback and/or that they would like to give feedback
- Yes/No
- Only if the data is evaluated by third parties and individual feedback cannot be directly or indirectly traced back to individuals. It is only organizational and demographic data.

© smart-fed AG

## Sustainable Human Resource Management



## Employee Survey Smart



## Feedback Without Surveys



## Enterprise Risk Management

